



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/008,947	01/20/1998	RANDELL L. MILLS	911322US	911322US 6830	
75	90 10/17/2002				
FARKAS & MANELLI			EXAMINER		
2000 M STREE 7TH FLOOR	OR KALAFUI, STEPHEN J		STEPHEN J		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 200363307		KALAFUT, STE	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	26	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• (11/12			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/008,947	MILLS, RANDELL L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	i 			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status	August 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07.</u>						
, <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	procesution as to the ma	rite ie			
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			1112 12			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 10-59</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 10-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	' 				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	v	9			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional appl	ication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/008,947

Art Unit: 1745

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/07/02 has been entered.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 10-59, for reasons of record applied originally to claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 58, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks credible utility. See paper no. 17, paragraph no. 3.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 10-59, for reasons of record originally applied to claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 10-58, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. See paper no. 17, paragraph no. 4.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/07/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the examination of the present application based on a "misguided view of quantum mechanics". The examiner's view, that a principle quantum number, commonly represented by the letter "n", must be an integer, is consistent with the views in the field of physics and the specialty of quantum mechanics. The examiner's position would thus be

Application/Control Number: 09/008,947

Art Unit: 1745

no more "misguided" than the positions of those who have worked in the specialty of quantum mechanics. Moreover, these views are not merely "theoretical", as alleged by applicant, but has also been verified by experimentation. For example, Bethe and Salpeter, cited in the Attachment to the Final Rejection (paper no. 25), pages 6 and 7, discuss the verification of the principle quantum number as an integer by spectroscopic data. Also, Kleppner and Jackiw, cited in the Attachment, page 7, state that quantum theory "is the most precisely *tested* and most successful theory in the history of science" (emphasis added). Thus, quantum theory, including its postulate that "n" must be an integer, would not be merely "theoretical", but "scientific".

Applicant makes various arguments concerning data from lasers, plasmas, calorimetry and spectroscopy in support of his assertion that "n" may be fractional. The arguments concerning lasers are not persuasive because of the differences between a free electron in a laser and an electron bound by Coulombic force to an atomic nucleus, as explained in the Attachment, pages 15 and 16. The arguments concerning plasmas, as well as an argument mentioning the sun, are not persuasive because a plasma is the ultimate opposite of what a "hydrino" is alleged to be. In a plasma, electrons are so energetic that they are able to escape from their respective nuclei, the very opposite of moving closer to nuclei form "hydrinos". If an ordinary hydrogen atom contacts a plasma, its electron would absorb some energy from the plasma, resulting in a move to a quantum state above the ground state. This could result in the electron being completely removed, the hydrogen atom thus becoming part of the plasma. The arguments concerning calorimetry are not persuasive for reasons stated in the Attachment, pages 49-56. The arguments concerning spectroscopy are not persuasive for reasons stated in the Attachment, pages 57-66. Applicant also makes various references to court cases and comments by various

Application/Control Number: 09/008,947

Art Unit: 1745

individuals, but these cases or comments, and applicant's statements concerning them, are not

Page 4

pertinent as to whether the present "hydrinos" may or may not exist.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is (703) 308-0433.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

sjk

October 11, 2002

STEPHEN KALAFUT